	UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT	COURT	FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
		District of	<u>Ne</u>	WASKSICT OF MEDRASK!	
	NITED STATES OF AMERICA V. MAN NOE BONILLA-EUCEDA	ORDER O	OF DETENTION	2010 JUL 27 PM 4: 31 ON PENDING TRIAL OFFICE OF THE CLERKS	
GER	Defendant	Case Number.	. 4.10ClC3073	ACLIEN AL LIIM AMMILII	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
Part I—Findings of Fact					
oi C	 □ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a □ federal offense □ state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is □ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). □ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. □ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.					
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the					
safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)					
X (1) T	here is probable cause to believe that the defend	* ' '			
×	for which a maximum term of imprisonment	of ten years or more is prescribed in	n 21 U.S.C. Sec. 8	801 et seq	
X (2) T	l under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption enter appearance of the defendant as required and the second secon	the safety of the community.	ition or combination	of conditions will reasonably assure	
	have is a socious risk that the defundant will not	Alternative Findings (B)			
	here is a serious risk that the defendant will not here is a serious risk that the defendant will end		or the community.		
_					
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention					
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by derance of the evidence that					
Risk & Llight					
	707				
to the extereasonable Government	Part III fendant is committed to the custody of the Attorn int practicable, from persons awaiting or servin opportunity for private consultation with defer it, the person in charge of the corrections facilit on with a court proceeding.	ng sentences or being held in custoonse counsel. On order of a court of	entative for confinement dy pending appeal. If the United States	The defendant shall be afforded a or on request of an attorney for the	
July 27, 2010		s/ Cl	heryl R. Zwart		
	Date	Signature of Judicial Officer			
		Cheryl R. Zwart, U.S. Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Indicial Officer			

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).